

100/-

10

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATISGARH AT BILASPUR (CG)

Writ Petition No. 165 /2001

Petitioner :: M/s Rajnandgaon Roadlines Through Smt. Gurusharan Paul Garcha, w/o Shri Preetam Singh Garcha, aged about 43 years, Partner, r/o Civil Lines Raipur (CG).

Versus

P. R. No. 125
Presented by Shri ...
dated 6-2-01

Respondents ::1. The Regional Transport Authority Raipur (CG).

2. The Tax Officer/ Regional Transport Officer, Rajnandgaon (CG)

***

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA :

XI-HC-22

(19)

उच्च न्यायालय, Chhattisgarh, Bilaspur

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. ~~161~~ 165/2001

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 199

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	9/2/2001 Heard Mr. B.K. Rawat, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Ranvir Singh, learned GA for State. The order dated 1/12/2000 issued by the Regional Transport Authority, Raipur has been impugned. The impugned order is an order of refusal of grant of counter signature in the regular permit no.SCP 11/2000 on the ground that for a certain period the tax has not been paid, It is submitted that in fact there was no tax recoverable from the petitioner at the relevant time and in fact the permit has already been issued by the Regional Transport Authority at Jagadalpur, but the said documents are yet to be counter signed by the Regional Transport Authority, Raipur. Annexure/P/4 is an letter which certified that there was no tax dues recoverable from the petitioner. At the same time, Annexure/P/2 was also a no due certificate submitted by the petitioner. After hearing the counsel for the parties and in the light of the facts stated above, I direct the respondent no.1 to examine the entire matter and pass appropriate orders by giving counter signature. With the observations aforesaid, the petition is disposed of. Certified copy today. Sd/- Chief Justice	